Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NORMAN EUGENE PATTERSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

G. MATTESON,

Defendant.

Case No. <u>21-cv-07391-KAW</u> (PR)

ORDER OF SERVICE

Plaintiff Norman Eugene Patterson, a state prisoner incarcerated at the California State Prison in Solano, has filed a pro se civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging that California Penal Code § 3501(h) violates his Equal Protection rights under the Fourteenth Amendment. Plaintiff has consented to the jurisdiction of the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge over this action. Plaintiff has filed a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP"), which is granted in a separate order. The Court now addresses the claim asserted in Plaintiff's complaint.

DISCUSSION

I. **Standard of Review**

A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a prisoner seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify any cognizable claims and dismiss any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. Id. § 1915A(b)(1), (2). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed. Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Liberally viewed, the allegations in the complaint appear to give rise to a cognizable constitutional claim based on the allegation that California Penal Code § 3501(h) violates Plaintiff's equal protection rights.

Therefore, the Court orders as follows:

- 1. The following defendant shall be served: G. Matteson, Warden, California State Prison, Solano, Vacaville, California.
- 2. Service on the listed defendant shall proceed under the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation's ("CDCR") e-service pilot program for civil rights cases from prisoners in CDCR custody. In accordance with the program, the clerk is directed to serve on CDCR via email the following documents: the operative complaint, this order of service, the notice of assignment of prisoner case to a United States magistrate judge and accompanying magistrate judge jurisdiction consent or declination to consent form, a CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver form and a summons. The clerk shall serve by mail a copy of this order on the plaintiff.
- 3. No later than 40 days after service of this order via email on CDCR, CDCR shall provide the court a completed CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver advising the court which defendant(s) listed in this order will be waiving service of process without the need for service by the United States Marshal Service (USMS) and which defendant(s) decline to waive service or could not be reached. CDCR also shall provide a copy of the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver and of the notice of assignment of prisoner case to a magistrate judge and accompanying magistrate judge jurisdiction consent or declination to consent form to the California Attorney General's Office, which, within 21 days, shall file with the court a waiver of service of process for the defendant(s) who are waiving service and, within 28 days thereafter, shall file a magistrate judge jurisdiction consent or declination to consent form as to the defendant(s) who waived service. The magistrate judge form can also be found at www.cand.uscourts.gov/civilforms.
- 4. Upon receipt of the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver, the clerk shall prepare for each defendant who has not waived service according to the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver a USM-205 Form. The clerk shall provide to the USMS the completed USM-205 form and copies of this order, summons, operative complaint and notice of assignment of prisoner case to a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

magistrate judge and accompanying magistrate judge jurisdiction consent or declination to consent form for service upon each defendant who has not waived service. The clerk also shall provide to the USMS a copy of the CDCR Report of E-Service Waiver.

To expedite the resolution of this case, the Court orders as follows:

- 5. No later than 60 days from the date of service, Defendant shall file his motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion. The motion shall be supported by adequate factual documentation and shall conform in all respects to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56 and shall include as exhibits all records and incident reports stemming from the events at issue. If Defendant is of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by such a motion, he shall so inform the Court prior to the date that such motion is due. All papers filed with the Court shall be promptly served on Plaintiff.
- 6. At the time the dispositive motion is served, Defendant shall also serve, on a separate paper, the appropriate notice or notices required by Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 953-954 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), and Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n. 4 (9th Cir. 2003); see Woods v. Carey, 684 F.3d 934, 940-941 (9th Cir. 2012) (finding that Rand and Wyatt notices must be given at the time motions for summary judgment or motion to dismiss for non-exhaustion are filed, not earlier); Rand, 154 F.3d at 960 (establishing the separate paper requirement).
- 7. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion, if any, shall be filed with the Court and served upon Defendant no later than 30 days from the date the motion is served upon him. Additionally, Plaintiff must read the attached page headed "NOTICE – WARNING," which is provided to him pursuant to Rand, 154 F.3d at 953-954, and Klingele v. Eikenberry, 849 F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988).
- 8. If Defendants file a motion for summary judgment claiming that Plaintiff failed to exhaust his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), Plaintiff should take note of the attached page headed "NOTICE – WARNING (EXHAUSTION)," which is provided to him as required by Wyatt, 315 F.3d at 1120 n. 4.
- 9. If Defendant wishes to file a reply brief, he shall do so no later than 14 days after the opposition is served. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due.

10. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date. All
communications by Plaintiff with the Court must be served on Defendant, or Defendant's counsel,
if and when counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document to
Defendants or Defendant's counsel. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules
of Civil Procedure. No further Court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) is
required before the parties may conduct discovery.

- 11. Finally, it is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the Court informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper with the clerk headed "Notice of Change of Address." He also must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).
- 12. Extensions of time are not favored, though reasonable extensions will be granted. Any motion for an extension of time must be filed no later than <u>three</u> days prior to the deadline sought to be extended.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 8, 2021

KANDIS A. WESTMORE United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE -- WARNING (SUMMARY JUDGMENT)

If defendants move for summary judgment, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact; that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), to oppose the motion you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must submit specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents so that you show there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted to the Defendants, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

NOTICE -- WARNING (EXHAUSTION)

If defendants file a motion for summary judgment for failure to exhaust, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. If the motion is granted it will end your case. To oppose it, you may present any evidence you have which tends to show that you did exhaust your administrative remedies. Such evidence may be in the form of declarations (statements signed under penalty of perjury) or authenticated documents, that is, documents accompanied by a declaration showing where they came from and why they are authentic, or other sworn papers, such as answers to interrogatories or depositions. If defendants file a motion for summary judgment for failure to exhaust and it is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.